

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/847,479	05/02/2001	Jeffrey J. Brown	FIS920010077US1	6231		
21254	7590 07/31/2003					
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			EXAMINER			
SUITE 200	OURTHOUSE ROAD		BARRECA,	BARRECA, NICOLE M		
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1756			
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	n
-----------------	---

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/847,479	BROWN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Nicole M. Barreca	1756

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application ir condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Contin Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ı ued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M 706.07(f).	PÉP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office at (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, e timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifyi issues for appeal; and/or	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amen canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	dment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newl raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	у
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	I
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendments to the claims, including requiring the first set of conditions to include a tuning parameter to control line width variation tolerance of isolated features relative to nested features, or including specific trimming/etching conditions, raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims have not been searched or considered taking into acount the newly proposed limitations.

> MARK F. HUFF SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700